arXiv:1609.09592v1 [math.AG] 30 Sep 2016

A NOTE ON IITAKA'S CONJECTURE $C_{3,1}$ IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

LEI ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that for a fibration $f : X \to Y$ from a smooth projective 3-fold to a smooth projective curve, over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 5$. If the generic fiber X_η has big canonical divisor K_{X_η}, then

$$\kappa(X) \geq \kappa(Y) + \kappa(X_\eta).$$

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, a *fibration* means a projective morphism $f : X \to Y$ between varieties such that the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism.

Let X be a projective variety over a field k and D a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. The D-dimension $\kappa(X, D)$ is defined as

$$\kappa(X, D) = \begin{cases} -\infty, \text{ if for every integer } m > 0, |mD| = \emptyset; \\ \max\{\dim_k \Phi_{|mD|}(X) | m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } m > 0\}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If X has a regular projective birational model $\tilde{X}$, the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ of X is defined as $\kappa(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}})$ where $K_{\tilde{X}}$ denotes the canonical divisor. Kodaira dimension is one of the most important birational invariant in the classification theory.

Iitaka's conjecture states that

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a fibration between smooth projective varieties over an algebraically closed field k, with $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Y = m$. Then*

$$C_{n,m} : \kappa(X) \geq \kappa(Y) + \kappa(X_\eta)$$

where X_η denotes the generic fiber of f.

When char$k = 0$, X_η is a smooth variety over $k(\eta)$, and this conjecture has been widely studied ([3, 9, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 33, 34]).

In positive characteristics this conjecture will be subtler: X_η is regular but not necessarily smooth over $k(\eta)$, the geometric generic fiber $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is not even reduced if f is not separable. In [36] a weaker conjecture is proposed: assuming the geometric generic fiber $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral and has a smooth projective birational model $\tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$, then

$$WC_{n,m} : \kappa(X) \geq \kappa(Y) + \kappa(\tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}}).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14E05, 14E30.

Keywords: Kodaira dimension; positive characteristics; weak positivity; minimal model.

Note that $\kappa(\tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) \leq \kappa(X_\eta)$ by [10, Corollary 2.5], so $C_{n,m}$ implies $WC_{n,m}$. If granted smooth resolution of singularities in dimension n, to prove weak subadditivity $WC_{n,m}$, by Frobenius base changes and resolution of singularities we can reduce to prove $C_{n,m}$ for a fibration with smooth geometric generic fiber ([6, proof of Corollary 1.3]).

Up to now, the following have been proved

(i) $WC_{n,n-1}$ and $C_{2,1}$ ([10]);
(ii) $WC_{3,1}$ (over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p, p > 5$ by [6], over general k with char$k > 5$ by [15] and [16]);
(iii) $C_{3,1}$ under the situation that K_{X_η} is big, $g(Y) > 1$ and char$k > 5$ ([36]).

Some general results on $C_{n,m}$ are proved under certain technical assumptions in [29, 30] and [36].

This paper aims to prove the following result, which implies $C_{3,1}$ under the situation that K_{X_η} is big and char$k > 5$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective 3-fold and $f : X \to Y$ a fibration to a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 5$. And let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that (X, Δ) is klt. Assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is f-big. Then*

$$\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) \geq 2 + \kappa(Y).$$

The proof combines ideas of [16] and [36].

When the geometric generic fiber $X_{\overline{\eta}}$ has strongly F-regular singularities and K_X is f-big, similar result has been proved by Ejiri [15] and Zhang [36]. Let's review their approaches. In this case, for sufficiently divisible l the sheaf $f_*\omega^l_{X/Y}$ is nef ([29], [15]). Granted this result, $C_{3,1}$ can be proved easily if either $g(Y) > 1$ or $\deg f_*\omega^l_{X/Y} > 0$. To treat the case $\deg K_Y = \deg f_*\omega^l_{X/Y} = 0$, Ejiri [15] uses a deep result of vector bundles on elliptic curves (Proposition 2.10) and trace maps of relative Frobenius iterations in a very clever way; and Zhang [36] proves that f is birationally isotrivial by use of moduli theory.

In general, though $X_{\overline{\eta}}$ is integral[1], it may have very bad singularities, so $f_*\omega^l_{X/Y}$ is not necessarily nef. Instead, following observations of [31] and [36], by use of minimal model theory in dimension three, we can show that, for sufficiently divisible m and g, the sheaf $F_Y^{g*}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X + m\Delta) \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$ contains a nef sub-bundle V of rank at least cm^2 for some $c > 0$ (Corollary 2.12). By some standard arguments we can show Theorem 1.2 under the condition that $\deg K_Y > 0$ or that $\deg V > 0$. To treat the remaining case $\deg K_Y = \deg V = 0$, we will use the approach of [16, Sec. 4]: first we construct some effective divisors $B_i \sim m(K_X + \Delta) - L_i$ where $L_i \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$, then consider the restriction of B_i on the log canonical centers of a carefully chosen dlt pair, finally by use of adjunction formula and abundance of log canonical surfaces due to Tanaka ([32]), we can show that some L_i are torsion, which concludes our theorem easily.

[1] this is because a fibration from a normal variety to a curve is separable by [2, Lemma 7.2], so the geometric fiber is integral by [2, Theorem 7.1]

Notation and Conventions: In this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. A *k-scheme* is a separated scheme of finite type over k. A *variety* means an integral k-scheme, and a *curve* (resp. *surface, n-fold*) means a variety of dimension one (resp. two, n).

For the notions of minimal model theory such as lc, klt pairs, flip and divisorial contractions and so on, please refer to [4, Sec. 2.5]. By [11, 12, 13], we can always take a log smooth resolution of a pair (X, Δ) in dimension three.

For a variety X, we use $F_X^e : X^e \to X$ for the e-th absolute Frobenius iteration.

Let $\varphi : X \to T$ be a morphism of schemes and let T' be a T-scheme. Then we denote by $X_{T'}$ the fiber product $X \times_T T'$. For a Cartier or $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D on X (resp. an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$), the pullback of D (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) to $X_{T'}$ is denoted by $D_{T'}$ or $D|_{X_{T'}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{T'}$ or $\mathcal{G}|_{X_{T'}}$) if it is well-defined.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks BICMR and KIAS, where part of this work was done. He would like to thank Prof. Chenyang Xu and Dr. Sho Ejiri for many useful discussions. The author is supported by grant NSFC (No. 11401358 and No. 11531009).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some technical results which will be used in the sequel.

2.1. Minimal model of 3-fold.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the base field k has characteristic $p > 5$. Let X be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial quasi-projective 3-fold, $f : X \to Z$ a fibration and Δ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X.*

(1) If either (X, Δ) is klt and $K_X + \Delta$ is pseudo-effective over Z, or (X, Δ) is lc and $K_X + \Delta$ has a weak Zariski decomposition[2], then (X, Δ) has a log minimal model over Z.

(2) If (X, Δ) is a dlt pair and Z is a smooth projective curve with $g(Z) \geq 1$, then every step of LMMP ([4, Sec. 3.5-3.7]) *starting from (X, Δ) is over Z.*

(3) If (X, Δ) is klt and $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and big over Z, then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample over Z.

Proof. For (1) please refer to [4, Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 7.3]. (2) follows from cone theorem [5, Theorem 1.1]. For (3), please refer to [4] or [35]. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a normal projective surface or a curve over a field K with* $\mathrm{char} K = p > 0$*, and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Assume that (X, Δ) is log canonical and $K_X + \Delta$ is nef. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample.*

Proof. If X is a curve, by considering the pair $(X \times C, \Delta \times C)$ instead, where C is an elliptic curve, we may assume that X is a surface. And for a surface, the assertion is [32, Theorem 0.2]. □

[2] i.e., there exists a birational projective morphism $\mu : W \to X$ such that $\nu^*(K_X + \Delta) = P + M$ where P is nef over Z and M is effective

2.2. **Numerical dimension.**

Definition 2.3. Let D be a $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a smooth projective variety X of dimension n. The numerical dimension $\nu(D)$ is defined as the the biggest natural number k such that

$$\liminf_{m\to\infty}\frac{h^0(\llcorner mD\lrcorner+A)}{m^k}>0 \text{ for some ample divisor } A \text{ on } X,$$

if such a k does not exist then define $\nu(D)=-\infty$.

Remark 2.4. Our notation is slightly different from that of [27, V, Sec. 2], where $\kappa_\sigma(D)$ is used for the above invariant. Usually, the notation $\nu(D)$ is defined for nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors as

$$\nu(D)=\max\{k\in\mathbb{N}|D^k\cdot A^{n-k}>0 \text{ for an ample divisor } A \text{ on } X\}.$$

Over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, it is well known that for a nef divisor D, $\kappa_\sigma(D)=\nu(D)$ ([27, V, 2.7 (6)]).

In arbitrary characteristics, since smooth alteration exists due to de Jong [21], this invariant can be defined for $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on normal varieties by pulling back to a smooth variety, which does not depend on the choices of smooth alterations by [7, 2.5-2.7].

We collect some useful results on numerical dimension in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a normal projective variety and D a $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X.*

(1) If D is nef, then

$$\nu(D)=\max\{k\in\mathbb{N}|D^k\cdot A^{n-k}>0 \text{ for an ample divisor } A \text{ on } X\}.$$

If moreover D is effective and S is a normal component of D, then

$$\nu(D|_S)\leq\nu(D)-1.$$

(2) For two pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors D_1,D_2 on X, and two real numbers $a,b>0$, we have

$$\nu(D_1+D_2)=\nu(aD_1+bD_2).$$

(3) Let $f:X\to Y$ be a fibration and denote by F the generic fiber of f. Then we have easy addition

$$\nu(D)\leq\nu(D|_F)+\dim Y.$$

Moreover if there exists a big divisor H on Y such that $D-f^*H$ is effective and $\nu(D|_F)=\kappa(F,D|_F)$, then

$$\nu(D)=\kappa(X,D)=\nu(D|_F)+\dim Y.$$

(4) Let $\mu:W\to X$ be a generically finite morphism between two normal projective varieties and E an effective μ-exceptional Cartier divisor on W. Then

$$\nu(D)=\nu(\mu^*D+E).$$

(5) If (X, Δ) is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial log canonical 3-fold, and (X', Δ') is a minimal model of (X, Δ), then

$$\nu(K_X + \Delta) = \nu(K_{X'} + \Delta').$$

Proof. Note that in positive characteristics, analogous results to [27, V, 1.12 and 1.14] have been proved by [7]. So the first assertion of (1) follows from the same argument of [27, V, 2.7 (6)]; and the second assertion follows from the relation [14, Sec. 1.2]

$$(D|_S)^{k-1} \cdot (A|_S)^{n-k} = D^{k-1} \cdot A^{n-k} \cdot S \leq D^k \cdot A^{n-k}.$$

(2) is an easy consequence of [27, V, 2.7 (1)].

For (3), the inequality is [27, V, 2.7 (9)], and the remaining assertion follows from similar arguments of [27, V, 2.27 (9)] or [6, Lem. 2.22].

(4) is implied by the proof of [7, 2.7].

Finally for (5), taking a common smooth log resolution of (X, Δ) and (X', Δ'), this assertion follows from (4) and standard arguments of minimal model theory. □

2.3. **Covering Theorem.** The result below is [[20], Theorem 10.5] when X and Y are both smooth, and the proof there also applies when varieties are normal.

Theorem 2.6. ([20, Theorem 10.5]) *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a proper surjective morphism between complete normal varieties. If D is a Cartier divisor on Y and E an effective f-exceptional divisor on X, then*

$$\kappa(X, f^*D + E) = \kappa(Y, D).$$

As a corollary we get the following useful result, which also appears in [16].

Lemma 2.7. ([16, Lemma 2.3]) *Let $g : W \to Y$ be surjective projective morphism between projective varieties. Assume Y is normal and let $L_1, L_2 \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$ be two line bundles on Y. If $g^*L_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*L_2$ then $L_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L_2$.*

Proof. Let $L = L_1 \otimes L_2^{-1}$. Denote by $\sigma : W' \to W$ the normalization and $g' = g \circ \sigma : W' \to Y$. Then $g'^*L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Applying Theorem 2.6 to $g' : W' \to Y$ gives that $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, which is equivalent to that $L_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L_2$. □

2.4. **Vector bundles on elliptic curves.** In this subsection, we recall some facts about vector bundles on elliptic curves, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.8. *Let C be an elliptic curve, and let $\mathcal{E}_C(r, d)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable vector bundles of rank r and of degree d.*

1. ([1, Theorem 5])*For each $r > 0$, there exists a unique element $\mathcal{E}_{r,0}$ of $\mathcal{E}_C(r, 0)$ with $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}_{r,0}) \neq 0$. Moreover, for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{E}_C(r, 0)$ there exists an $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}_{r,0} \otimes \mathcal{L}$.*
2. ([28, Corollary 2.9]) *When the Hasse invariant $\mathrm{Hasse}(C)$ is nonzero, $F_C^*\mathcal{E}_{r,0} \cong \mathcal{E}_{r,0}$. When $\mathrm{Hasse}(C)$ is zero, $F_C^*\mathcal{E}_{r,0} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq \min\{r,p\}} \mathcal{E}_{\lfloor (r-i)/p \rfloor + 1, 0}$, where $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the round down of r.*

Theorem 2.9 ([26, 1.4. Satz]). *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on a smooth projective curve C. If ${F_C^e}^*\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ for some $e > 0$, then there exists an étale morphism $\pi : C' \to C$ from a smooth projective curve C' such that $\pi^*\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{C'}$.*

The following result has appeared in [16], for readers' convenience the proof is included.

Proposition 2.10 ([16, Proposition 2.7]). *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on an elliptic curve C. Then there exists a finite morphism $\pi : C' \to C$ from an elliptic curve C' such that $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles.*

Proof. We may assume that for every finite morphism $\varphi : B \to C$ from an elliptic curve B, $\varphi^*\mathcal{E}$ is indecomposable. Set $d := \deg \mathcal{E}$ and $r := \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{E}$. We show that $r = 1$. Let $Q \in C$ be a closed point. Replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by $((r_C)^*\mathcal{E})(-dQ)$, we may assume that $d = 0$. Here $r_C : C \to C$ is the morphism given by multiplication by r. Hence Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 imply that when the Hasse invariant of C is nonzero (resp. zero), there exists an étale morphism $\pi : C' \to C$ (resp. an $e > 0$) such that $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ (resp. ${F_C^e}^*\mathcal{E}$) is a direct sum of line bundles. This implies that $r = 1$. □

2.5. **Weak positivity.**

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a fibration from a smooth projective n-fold to a smooth projective curve. Let D be a nef, f-big and f-semi-ample Cartier divisor on X. Then there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that, for sufficiently divisible m and g, the sheaf $F_Y^{g*} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD + K_{X/Y})$ contains a nef sub-bundle of rank at least cm^{n-1}.*

Proof. Let $D_m = mD + K_{X/Y}$. Then $D_m - K_{X/Y} = mD$ is nef, f-big and f-semi-ample. Applying [36, Theorem 1.11] (or [31, Corollary 6.29] if D is f-ample), we conclude that for sufficiently divisible g, the sheaf $F_Y^{g*} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD+K_{X/Y})$ contains a nef sub-bundle $S^g f_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD + K_{X/Y})$ (see [36, 2.2.2] for the definition), whose rank equals to $\dim_{k(\overline{\eta})} S^0(X_{\overline{\eta}}, (mD + K_{X/Y})|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}})$ by [36, Proposition 2.5]. Finally since $D|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ is big, the proof of [36, Proposition 2.3 (2), (3)] shows $\dim_{k(\overline{\eta})} S^0(X_{\overline{\eta}}, (mD + K_{X/Y})|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}) \geq cm^{n-1}$ for some $c > 0$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let X be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective 3-fold, $f : X \to Y$ a fibration to a smooth projective curve of genus ≥ 1, and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Assume that (X, Δ) is klt and $K_X + \Delta$ is f-big. Then there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that, for sufficiently divisible m and g, the sheaf $F_Y^{g*}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X + m\Delta) \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$ contains a nef sub-bundle of rank at least cm^2.*

Proof. Running an LMMP for $K_X + \Delta$ over Y, since $g(Y) \geq 1$, by Theorem 2.1 we get a minimal model (X', Δ') and a fibration $f' : X' \to Y$ such that, $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is nef, f'-big and f'-semi-ample. Take a smooth log resolution $\mu : W \to X'$ of (X', Δ') and denote by $g : W \to Y$ the natural fibration. Applying Theorem 2.11, we show that there exists some $c > 0$ such that, for sufficiently divisible m and g, the sheaf

$$F_Y^{g*}(g_*\mathcal{O}_W(m\mu^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + K_{W/Y})) \cong F_Y^{g*}(g_*\mathcal{O}_W(m\mu^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + K_W) \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$$

contains a nef sub-bundle of rank at least cm^2. Finally we finish the proof by $g_*\mathcal{O}_W(m\mu^*(K_{X'}+\Delta')+K_W) \cong f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(m(K_{X'}+\Delta')+K_{X'}) \cong f_*\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X+m\Delta)$.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let the notation be as in Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 2.1, (X, Δ) has a log minimal model, so $K_X+\Delta$ is pseudo-effective. Then since $K_X+\Delta$ is f-big, we have $\nu(K_X+\Delta) \geq 2$. If $\nu(K_X+\Delta) = 3$, then $K_X+\Delta$ is big, so we are done. We assume $\nu(K_X+\Delta) = 2$.

By Corollary 2.12, there exists $c > 0$ such that, the sheaf $F_Y^{g*}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X + m\Delta) \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$ contains a nef sub-bundle of rank at least cm^2 for sufficiently divisible m and g.

Fix sufficiently divisible integers m and g. We can assume that $(X, \frac{m}{m+1}\Delta)$ is klt, and that $K_X + \frac{m}{m+1}\Delta$ is f-big. Similarly as above, we have that $K_X + \frac{m}{m+1}\Delta$ is also pseudo-effective and $\nu(K_X+\Delta) = \nu(X, K_X + \frac{m}{m+1}\Delta) = 2$. In turn we conclude that

(i) $\nu(K_X + t\Delta) = 2$ for $t \geq \frac{m}{m+1}$ by Proposition 2.5 (2).

Note that $\kappa(X, K_X + \frac{m}{m+1}\Delta) \leq \kappa(X, K_X+\Delta)$. Replacing Δ by $\frac{m}{m+1}\Delta$ and $m+1$ by m, we can assume that

(ii) $F_Y^{g*}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X+\Delta)) \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$ contains a nef sub-bundle V of rank $r \geq 3$, and the restriction on the generic fiber of $X_{Y^g} \to Y^g$ induces a linear system $|V|_{X_{\eta^g}}|$, which defines a generically finite map $X_{\eta^g} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}_{k(\eta^g)}$.

If $g(Y) > 1$, then K_Y is big, we can show the theorem by some standard arguments [36, Theorem 4.1].

From now on, we assume Y is an elliptic curve. Then $\omega_X = \omega_{X/Y}$.

First we prove that

Lemma 3.1. *If there exists $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$ such that $\kappa(X, k(K_X+\Delta)+f^*L) \geq 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, then $\kappa(X, K_X+\Delta) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Denote by $I : X \dashrightarrow Z$ the Iitaka fibration of $k(K_X+\Delta)+f^*L$, where Z is a normal surface or a curve. We have a blowing up map $\mu : X' \to X$ such that, there exists a morphism $g' : X' \to Z$ factoring through I. We can write that $K_{X'}+\Delta' = \mu^*(K_X+\Delta)+E$ where Δ' and E are effective divisors having no common components. Then (X', Δ') is klt. Let (X'', Δ'') be a minimal model of (X', Δ') over Z and denote by $g'' : X'' \to Z$ the natural morphism. By Theorem 2.1, there is a morphism $f'' : X'' \to Y$ fitting into the following commutative diagram



Let G'', G' denote the generic fiber of g'', g' respectively. Then

(a) G'' is normal and $(G'', \Delta''|_{G''})$ is klt, so $(K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{G''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{G''}+\Delta''|_{G''}$ is semi-ample by Theorem 2.2;

(b) since $\kappa(X, k(K_X+\Delta)+f^*L) \geq 1$, applying Theorem 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(G'', (k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L)|_{G''}) &= \kappa(G', (k(K_{X'}+\Delta')+f'^*L)|_{G'}) \\ &= \kappa(G', \mu^*(k(K_X+\Delta)+f^*L)|_{G'}) \geq 0;\end{aligned}$$

(c) by Proposition 2.5 (4), we have

$$\nu(k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L) = \nu(K_{X''}+\Delta'') = \nu(K_X+\Delta) = 2.$$

If $\dim Z = 2$, then $(k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L)|_{G''} \sim_{num} 0$, because otherwise it will be big, and then $k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L$ is big by Proposition 2.5 (3), which contradicts (c) above. So from (a) and (b), it follows that

$$(k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L)|_{G''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{G''},$$

thus $f''^*L|_{G''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. On the other hand, since $K_{X''}+\Delta''$ is big over Y, G'' is dominant over Y. Take a general fiber G''_1 of g''. Then G''_1 is dominant over Y and $f''^*L|_{G''_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Applying Corollary 2.7 to the morphism $G''_1 \to Y$ gives that $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. So we are done in this case.

If $\dim Z = 1$, applying Proposition 2.5 (3), similarly as in the previous case we see that

$$\nu((K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{G''}) = \nu((k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L)|_{G''}) = 1,$$

so G'' is dominant over Y. Denote by $h'' : G'' \to C$ the Iitaka fibration of $(K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{G''}$, and by H'' a general fiber of h''. Then $(K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{H''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and H'' is dominant over Y since $K_{X''}+\Delta''$ is big over Y. By (b), we conclude that $(k(K_{X''}+\Delta'')+f''^*L)|_{H''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, so $f''^*L|_{H''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and in turn $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ by Corollary 2.7. Applying 2.5 (3), we conclude that

$$\kappa(X, K_X+\Delta) = \kappa(X'', K_{X''}+\Delta'') = \kappa(G'', (K_{X''}+\Delta'')|_{G''}) + 1 = 2.$$

In conclusion, we complete the proof of this lemma. □

Now let's proceed the proof.

Step 1: Since Y is a normal curve, we have that f is separable by [2, Lemma 7.2], so the geometric generic fiber is integral.

By Proposition 2.10 there exists a flat base change between two elliptic curves $\pi' : Y_1 \to Y^g$ such that $\pi'^*V = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i$. Let $\pi = F_Y^g \circ \pi' : Y_1 \to Y^g \to Y$. And let X_1 be the normalization of $X \times_Y Y_1$. We get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & & \xrightarrow{\quad\pi_1\quad} & & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & Y^g & \xrightarrow{F_Y^g} & Y \end{array}$$

where π_1 and f_1 denote the natural projections. We have that $\pi^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X+\Delta)) \subset f_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(\pi_1^* m(K_X+\Delta))$ by [19, Proposition 9.3], thus

$$\pi'^*V = \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i \subset f_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(\pi_1^* m(K_X+\Delta)).$$

If $\deg V > 0$, up to an étale base change, we can assume $\deg V > 1$, thus $h^0(X_1, \pi_1^* m(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 2$ by Riemann-Roch formula. Applying Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) = \kappa(X_1, \pi_1^*(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 1,$$

which implies the proof by Lemma 3.1.

In the following we assume $\deg V = 0$. So by Theorem 2.8 and 2.9, we have that $\mathcal{L}_i \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y_1)$.

Step 2: By Step 1, we have

$$h^0(X_1, \pi_1^* m(K_X + \Delta) - f_1^* \mathcal{L}_i) \geq 1, \text{ thus } \kappa(X_1, \pi_1^* m(K_X + \Delta) - f_1^* \mathcal{L}_i) \geq 0,$$

and if $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_j$ for some $j \neq i$ then the strict inequalities hold. Since $\pi^* : \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y_1)$ is surjective, there exist L_i such that $\mathcal{L}_i \sim \pi^* L_i$, thus

$$\pi_1^* m(K_X + \Delta) - f_1^* \mathcal{L}_i \sim \pi_1^*(m(K_X + \Delta) - f^* L_i).$$

By Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.1, we can assume $\kappa(X, m(K_X + \Delta) - f^* L_i) = 0$.

In the following we only need to show that at least two of L_i are torsion, because then $h^0(X, l(m(K_X + \Delta) - f^* L_i) \geq 2$ for sufficiently divisible l, so we are done by Lemma 3.1.

Replacing m and L_i by their multiplications by a sufficiently divisible integer, we can assume $h^0(X, m(K_X + \Delta) - f^* L_i) = 1$ for every $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$.

Step 3: By Step 2, we get effective divisors

$$B_i \sim m(K_X + \Delta) - f^* L_i.$$

Take a smooth log resolution $\mu : \tilde{X} \to X$ of the pair $(X, \Delta + \sum_j B_j)$. Denote by $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ the natural morphism. Let $\tilde{B}$ be the reduced divisor with support equal to $\mathrm{supp}(\Delta + \sum_j B_j) \cup E(\mu)$, where $E(\mu)$ denotes the union of all the exceptional divisors. Consider the dlt pair $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})$. There exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\tilde{B}'$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B} = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \tilde{B}'$$

Since (X, Δ) has a minimal model, $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}$ has a weak Zariski decomposition. By Theorem 2.1, $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})$ has a minimal model $(\hat{X}, \hat{B})$ which is dlt, and there exists a natural morphism $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \to Y$. By the construction above, the following are true:
(1) Since (X, Δ) is klt, $\mathrm{supp}\tilde{B}' \subset \mathrm{supp}\tilde{B}$.
(2) Since $\tilde{B}' \leq \mu^* \sum_j B_j + t\mu^* \Delta + E \sim_{num} \mu^* k(K_X + s\Delta) + E$ for some $s, t, k > 1$ and an effective μ-exceptional divisor E, applying Proposition 2.5 (4) and (5), we conclude

$$2 \leq \nu(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B}) = \nu(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}) \leq \nu(\mu^*(k+1)(K_X + s\Delta) + E) = \nu(\mu^*(K_X + s\Delta)) = 2,$$

thus the two "$\leq$" above are in fact "$=$".
(3) For a sufficiently divisible integer $M > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq r$, we have effective Cartier divisors

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_i = M\mu^* B_i + Mm\tilde{B}' &\sim M(m\mu^*(K_X + \Delta) - \tilde{f}^* L_i) + Mm\tilde{B}' \\ &\sim Mm(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}) - M\tilde{f}^* L_i. \end{aligned}$$

Pushing forward via $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \hat{X}$, we get effective divisors on $\hat{X}$

$$\hat{B}_i \sim Mm(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B}) - M\hat{f}^*L_i.$$

(4) Considering the restriction of $\hat{B}_i$ on the generic fiber $\hat{F}$ of $\hat{f}$, we get a linear system $|\hat{V}| \subset |Mm(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{\hat{F}}$, which defines a generically finite map $\hat{F} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}_{k(\eta)}$ by assumption (iii) and the construction above. Let $\{\hat{G}_j | j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ be the set of the components of $\sum_i \hat{B}_i$ satisfying (4.a, 4.b) below:

(4.a) $\hat{G}_j$ is dominant over Y, and $\hat{G}_j|_{\hat{F}}$ is not a component of the fixed part of $|\hat{V}|$;

(4.b) $(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{\hat{G}_j \cap \hat{F}}$ is big.

Since the movable part of $|\hat{V}|$ is nef and big, we conclude that $N \geq 2$, and we can find two of $\hat{B}_i$, say $\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2$, and two components, say, $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2$ such that

(4.c) if writing that

$$\hat{B}_1 = a_{11}\hat{G}_1 + a_{12}\hat{G}_2 + \hat{G}'_3 \text{ and } \hat{B}_2 = a_{21}\hat{G}_1 + a_{22}\hat{G}_2 + \hat{G}''_3$$

where $\hat{G}'_3, \hat{G}''_3 \geq 0$ contain none of $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2$, then the integers $a_{11} > a_{21} \geq 0$ and $a_{22} > a_{12} \geq 0$.

Step 4: By the construction of Step 3 (3), we have $\text{supp}\tilde{B}_i \subset \text{supp}\tilde{B}$, thus $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2$ are components of $\hat{B}$. Write that

$$\hat{B} = \hat{G}_1 + \hat{G}'_4.$$

where $\hat{G}'_4$ is an effective divisor.

Since $\hat{G}_1$ is a dlt center of $(\hat{X}, \hat{B})$, by [4, Lemma 4.2] we have that $\hat{G}_1$ is a normal projective surface, and the pair $(\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}'_4|_{\hat{G}_1})$ is lc. Applying Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{\hat{G}_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\hat{G}_1} + \hat{G}'_4|_{\hat{G}_1}$$

is semi-ample. By Proposition 2.5 (1), Step 3 (4.b) implies that

$$\nu((K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{\hat{G}_1}) = 1.$$

Considering the Iitaka fibration of $(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{\hat{G}_j}$, we get a morphism $h_1 : \hat{G}_1 \to C_1$ to a curve, with every fiber being dominant over Y.

Denote by H_1 a general fiber of h_1. Then $p_a(H_1) \geq 1$. By adjunction

$$0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K_{\hat{G}_1} + \hat{G}'_4)|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{H_1} + \hat{G}'_4|_{H_1}$$

we conclude that $K_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \hat{G}'_4|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, so

$$\hat{G}'_4 \cap H_1 = \hat{G}_2 \cap H_1 = \hat{G}'_3 \cap H_1 = \hat{G}''_3 \cap H_1 = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, by $(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B})|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and (4.c) above we have

$$\begin{aligned} -a_{21}M\hat{f}^*L_1|_{H_1} &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{21}(Mm(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B}) - M\hat{f}^*L_1)|_{H_1} \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{21}\hat{B}_1|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{11}a_{21}\hat{G}_1|_{H_1} \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{11}\hat{B}_2|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -a_{11}M\hat{f}^*L_2|_{H_1} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which, by Lemma 2.7, implies that

$$a_{21}ML_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{11}ML_2.$$

In the same way, restricting on $\hat{G}_2$ gives

$$a_{22}ML_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} a_{12}ML_2.$$

Finally by the conditions $a_{11} > a_{21} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a_{12} < a_{22}$, we conclude that $L_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and complete the proof.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah: *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc, **7** (1957), 414–452.

[2] L. Bădescu: *Algebraic surfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, (2001).

[3] C. Birkar, *The Iitaka conjecture $C_{n,m}$ in dimension six*. Compositio Math. **145** (2009), no. 6, 1442–1446.

[4] C. Birkar: *Existence of flips and minimal models for 3-folds in char p*, Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. (4) 49 (2016), 169–212.

[5] C. Birkar, J. Waldron: *Existence of Mori fibre spaces for 3-folds in char p*, http://arXiv:1410.4511v1 (2014).

[6] C. Birkar, Y. Chen, C. Zhang: *Iitaka's $C_{n,m}$ conjecture for 3-folds over finite fields*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.08760 (2016).

[7] P. Cascini, P., C. Hacon, M. Mustaţă and K. Schwede: *On the numerical dimension of pseudo-effective divisors in positive characteristic*, Amer. J. Math. 136 (2014), no. 6, 1609–1628.

[8] P. Cascini, H. Tanaka, C. Xu: *On base point freeness in positive characteristic*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. S. **48** (2015), 1239–1272.

[9] J.A. Chen, C.D. Hacon: *Kodaira dimension of irregular varieties*, Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 3, 481–500.

[10] Y. Chen and L. Zhang, *The subadditivity of the Kodaira Dimension for Fibrations of Relative Dimension One in Positive Characteristics*, Math. Res. Lett. 22 (2015), 675–696.

[11] V. Cossart, O. Piltant: *Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic I*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 1051–1082.

[12] V. Cossart, O. Piltant: *Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic II*, J. Algebra **321** (2009),1836–1976.

[13] S. D. Cutkosky: *Resolution of singularities for 3-folds in positive characteristic*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 1, 59-127.

[14] O. Debarre, *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[15] S. Ejiri: *Weak positivity theorem and Frobenius stable canonical rings of geometric generic fibers*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00484 (2015).

[16] S. Ejiri and L. Zhang *Iitaka's $C_{n,m}$ conjecture for 3-folds in positive characteristic*, preprint.

[17] O. Fujino: *On subadditivity of the logarithmic Kodaira dimension*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2759 (2014).

[18] C.D. Hacon, C. Xu: *On the three dimensional minimal model program in positive characteristic*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), 711–744.

[19] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. 1977.

[20] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic geometry*. An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 76. 1982.
[21] A. J. de Jong, *Families of curves and alterations*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47 (1997), no. 2, 599–621.
[22] Y. Kawamata: *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Compositio Math. **43** (1981), no. 2, 253–276.
[23] Y. Kawamata: *Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces over curves*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), no. 1, 57–71.
[24] Y. Kawamata: *Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **363** (1985), 1–46.
[25] J. Kollár: *Subadditivity of the Kodaira dimension: fibers of general type*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, 361–398, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1987).
[26] H. Lange, U. Stuhler: *Vektorbündel auf Kurven und Darstellungen Fundamentalgruppe*, Math Z. **156** (1977), 73–83
[27] N. Nakayama: *Zariski decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, 14. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
[28] T. Oda: *Vector bundles on an elliptic curve*, Nagoya Math. J. **43** (1971), 41–72.
[29] Z. Patakfalvi: *Semi-positivity in positive characteristics*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. S. **47** (2014), no. 5, 991–1025.
[30] Z. Patakfalvi: *On subadditivity of Kodaira dimension in positive characteristic*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.5371 (2013).
[31] Z. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede and W. Zhang *F-singularities in Families*, arXiv:1305.1646.
[32] H. Tanaka: *Minimal model theory for surfaces over an imperfect field*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01383 (2015).
[33] E. Viehweg: *Canonical divisors and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for morphisms of relative dimension one*, Compositio Math. **35** (1977), no. 2, 197–223.
[34] E. Viehweg: *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces*, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981), 329–353, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **1** North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1983).
[35] C. Xu, *On base point free theorem of three fold in positive characteristic*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 14 (2015), no. 3, 577–588.
[36] L. Zhang: *Subadditivity of Kodaira dimensions for fibrations of three-folds in positive characteristics*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06907 (2016).

Lei Zhang, School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, P.R.China

E-mail address: lzhpkutju@gmail.com, zhanglei2011@snnu.edu.cn